

Table 2-12. Comparison of River Protection Assessment Results

Assessed Shoreline Lengths 100-HR-3/100-D	2013	2014	Change from 2013 to 2014
Total length of shoreline adjacent to 100-D Area	2,800 m (9,180 ft)		
Length identified as “protected” Percent of shoreline “protected”	1,200 m (3,930 ft) 43% of shoreline	1,500 m (4,920 ft) 54% of shoreline	Additional 300 m (990 ft) of shoreline identified as “protected”
Length identified as “protected (action may be required)” Percent of shoreline “protected (action may be required)”	1,100 m (3,610 ft) 39% of shoreline	800 m (2,620 ft) 28% of shoreline	Net change of 300 m (990 ft) of shoreline previously identified as “protected (action may be required)” now identified as protected
Length identified as “not protected” Percent of shoreline “not protected”	500 m (1,640 ft) 18% of shoreline	500 m (1,640 ft) 18% of shoreline	No net change in length of shoreline identified as “not protected”
Assessed Shoreline Lengths 100-HR-3/100-H	2013	2014	Change from 2013 to 2014
Total length of shoreline adjacent to 100-H Area	4,400 m (14,430 ft)		
Length identified as “protected” Percent of shoreline “protected”	2,300 m (7,540 ft) 52% of shoreline	2,700 m (8,855 ft) 61% of shoreline	Additional 400 m (1,315 ft) of shoreline identified as “protected”
Length identified as “protected (action may be required)” Percent of shoreline “protected (action may be required)”	1,000 m (3,280 ft) 23% of shoreline	1,200 m (3,935 ft) 27% of shoreline	Additional 200 m (655 ft) of shoreline identified as “protected (action may be required)”
Length identified as “not protected” Percent of shoreline “not protected”	1,100 m (3,610 ft) 25% of shoreline	500 m (1,640 ft) 11% of shoreline	600 m (1,970 ft) of shoreline previously identified as “not protected” now identified as “protected” or “protected (action may be required)”