

Table 2-11. Comparison of River Protection Assessment Results

Assessed Shoreline Lengths 100-HR-3/100-D	2012	2013	Percent Change
Total length of shoreline adjacent to 100-D Area	2,800 m (9,180 ft)		
Length identified as “protected”	1,000 m (3,280 ft)	1,200 m (3,930 ft)	+20%
Percent of shoreline “protected”	36% of shoreline	43% of shoreline	
Length identified as “protected” (action may be required)	1,300 m (4,260 ft)	1,100 m (3,610 ft)	-15%
Percent of shoreline “protected” (action may be required)	46% of shoreline	39% of shoreline	
Length identified as “not protected”	500 m (1,640 ft)	500 m (1,640 ft)	No change
Percent of shoreline “not protected”	18% of shoreline	18% of shoreline	
Assessed Shoreline Lengths 100-HR-3/100-D	2012	2013	Percent Change
Total length of shoreline adjacent to 100-H Area	4,400 m (14,430 ft)		
Length identified as “protected”	2,100 m (6,890 ft)	2,300 m (7,540 ft)	+9%
Percent of shoreline “protected”	48% of shoreline	52% of shoreline	
Length identified as “protected” (action may be required)	1,400 m (4,590 ft)	1,000 m (3,280 ft)	-28%
Percent of shoreline “protected” (action may be required)	32% of shoreline	23% of shoreline	
Length identified as “not protected”	900 m (2,950 ft)	1,100 m (3,610 ft)	-22%
Percent of shoreline “not protected”	20% of shoreline	25% of shoreline	